



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

TYC 8241 2652 1 and the case of the disappearing disk: no smoking gun yet

Günther, H. M., Kraus, S., Melis, C., Curé, M., Harries, T., Ireland, M., Kanaan, S., Poppenhaeger, K., Rizzuto, A., Rodriguez, D., Schneider, C. P., Sitko, M., Weigelt, G., Willson, M., & Wolk, S. (2017). TYC 8241 2652 1 and the case of the disappearing disk: no smoking gun yet. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629008>

Published in:
Astronomy & Astrophysics

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights
Copyright 2017 ESO.

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

TYC 8241 2652 1 and the case of the disappearing disk: No smoking gun yet[★]

Hans Moritz Günther¹, Stefan Kraus², Carl Melis³, Michel Curé⁴, Tim Harries², Michael Ireland⁵, Samer Kanaan⁴, Katja Poppenhaeger^{6, 12}, Aaron Rizzuto⁷, David Rodriguez⁸, Christian P. Schneider⁹, Michael Sitko¹⁰, Gerd Weigelt¹¹, Matthew Willson², and Scott Wolk¹²

¹ Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
e-mail: hgunther@mit.edu

² University of Exeter, School of Physics, Astrophysics Group, Stocker Road, Exeter, EX4 4QL, UK

³ Center for Astrophysics and Space Sciences, University of California, San Diego, CA 92093-0424, USA

⁴ Instituto de Física y Astronomía, Universidad de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile

⁵ Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2611, Australia

⁶ Queen's University Belfast, Astrophysics Research Centre, Belfast, BT7 1NN, UK

⁷ University of Texas at Austin, 2515 Speedway, Austin, TX 78712, USA

⁸ Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 36-D, Santiago, Chile

⁹ ESTEC/ESA, Keplerlaan 1, 2201 AZ Noordwijk, The Netherlands

¹⁰ Center for Extrasolar Planetary Studies, Space Science Institute, Boulder, CO 80301, USA

¹¹ Max Planck Institute for Radio Astronomy, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany

¹² Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Received 26 May 2016 / Accepted 4 November 2016

ABSTRACT

Context. TYC 8241 2652 1 is a young star that showed a strong mid-infrared (mid-IR, 8–25 μm) excess in all observations before 2008, which is consistent with a dusty disk. Between 2008 and 2010 the mid-IR luminosity of this system dropped dramatically by at least a factor of 30 suggesting a loss of dust mass of an order of magnitude or more.

Aims. We aim to constrain possible models including the removal of disk material by stellar activity processes, the presence of a binary companion, or other explanations suggested in the literature.

Methods. We present new X-ray observations, optical spectroscopy, near-IR interferometry, and mid-IR photometry of this system to constrain its parameters and further explore the cause of the dust mass loss.

Results. In X-rays TYC 8241 2652 1 has all the properties expected from a young star: Its luminosity is in the saturation regime and the abundance pattern shows enhancement of O/Fe. The photospheric H α line is filled with a weak emission feature, indicating chromospheric activity that is consistent with the observed level of coronal emission. Interferometry does not detect a companion and sets upper limits on the companion mass of 0.2, 0.35, 0.1, and 0.05 M_{\odot} at projected physical separations of 0.1–4 AU, 4–5 AU, 5–10 AU, and 10–30 AU, respectively (assuming a distance of 120.9 pc). Our mid-IR measurements, the first of the system since 2012, are consistent with the depleted dust level seen after 2009.

Conclusions. The new data confirm that stellar activity is unlikely to destroy the dust in the disk and shows that scenarios, in which either TYC 8241 2652 1 heats the disk of a binary companion or a potential companion heats the disk of TYC 8241 2652 1, are unlikely.

Key words. stars: formation – stars: individual: TYC 8241 2652 1 – stars: variables: general

1. Introduction

Many young stars are surrounded by circumstellar disks. In the early phases of star formation, the gas from the parent molecular cloud collapses and the conservation of angular momentum leads to the formation of an accretion disk around the central object. These disks contain a significant amount of dust, but by far the largest percentage of their mass is contained in the disk gas, which mostly consists of hydrogen and helium. The upper layer of the dust intercepts some of the stellar emission and reradiates

it in the infrared (IR) wavelength range. Such disks thus are often discovered through surveys in the mid-IR. Some of the mass is accreted onto the central star, causing a veiling of the stellar photospheric spectrum, bright hydrogen emission lines, and an excess of soft X-ray emission (for a review see [Günther 2011](#)). Furthermore, mass is lost from the disk through disk winds or photoevaporation and dust in the disk can coagulate to form larger grains, pebbles, and eventually planetesimals and planets, which may be massive enough to accrete gas from the disk as well. These mass loss processes lead to disk lifetimes of a few Myr ([Haisch et al. 2001](#); [Hernández et al. 2007](#)).

After the initial disk is gone, some young stars develop a debris disk. Planets or planetesimals in the disk collide, releasing a stream of small dust grains, which once again reradiate the stellar emission in the IR. Those disks are almost gas free

[★] Based on observations made with ESO telescopes at the Paranal Observatory (ESO program IDs 090.C-0697(A), 090.C-0904(A), and 095.C-0438(A)) and on observations obtained with *XMM-Newton*, an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA Member States and NASA.

(Pascucci et al. 2006; France et al. 2007; Schneider & Schmitt 2010) and the small dust grains are blown out of the system through radiation pressure even for stars of modest luminosity; for the continued presence of a dust disk, the grains need to be replenished through continued collisions (for a review see Wyatt 2008).

The timescale for planet formation and dispersal of primordial disks is Myr, but the disk emission can change faster. In general, observations at shorter wavelengths probe the disk at smaller radii. In the near-IR we see the relatively warm, innermost parts of a disk. With changes of the accretion state, the inner radius can fluctuate on timescales of hours to days changing the amount of near-IR excess above the stellar photosphere. In the most extreme cases, the inner disk hole can grow so large that no near-IR excess remains. Indeed, Rice et al. (2012) observe transient IR excesses in one-third of their sample in the *K* band. At longer wavelengths, the disk emission changes following changes of the illumination, for example, with the luminosity of the accretion spot (hours) or when the accretion spot on the star rotates in and out of view (days). Monitoring of several star-forming regions at 3.6 and 4.5 μm by the YSOVAR (Rebull et al. 2014) and CSI 2264 (Cody et al. 2014) projects reveal a plethora of light curves with variability between hours and weeks. However, out of $\sim 11\,000$ objects monitored in the YSOVAR project over several years, no more than two have data that indicate that their mid-IR excess vanishes completely, which would be compatible with losing their disk within a few years (Rebull et al. 2014).

In debris disks the grains need to be replenished constantly (Wyatt 2008), but once there is a collisional cascade in place that grinds down larger objects into sub-mm dust, there is little reason for the dust production to cease suddenly. The IR luminosity of debris disks thus follows the evolution of the larger bodies, which ultimately supply the mass; the typical life time of debris disks is 100 s of Mys to Gyr (e.g., Gáspár et al. 2009, 2013; Carpenter et al. 2009).

Only three objects with strong variability in the mid-IR are known, and TYC 8241 2652 1 (Melis et al. 2012), the focus of this paper, is the most extreme case; the other two are the two debris disk stars ID8 and HD 23514 (Meng et al. 2012). TYC 8241 2652 1 used to show a normal K2 stellar spectrum in the optical and near-IR (out to about 5 μm) and a strong excess over the photospheric spectrum in the mid-IR (8–25 μm), which is indicative of either a primordial or a debris disk. Between May 2008 and January 2010 the mid-IR luminosity of TYC 8241 2652 1 dropped by at least a factor of 30, but no changes were observed at shorter wavelengths. The presence of a lithium absorption line proves that the star is young (Melis et al. 2012). Its distance of 120.9 ± 5.4 pc (Lindgren et al. 2016) and its space motion are consistent with membership in either the Lower Centaurus Crux association (10–20 Myr old) or the TW Hydrae association (TWA; 8 Myr; see supplementary information of Melis et al. 2012, and references therein for details). The photospheric $H\alpha$ line is filled, but is not in emission; this indicates stellar activity but only very weak if any accretion, which is consistent with little or no gas reservoir in the disk.

Based on the age and spectral energy distribution, Melis et al. (2012) suggest that this is a debris disk. Alternatively, the object might have hosted a primordial gas-rich disk in an unusual and very short-lived late stage of disk dispersal, where only parts of the inner region of the disk remain to be depleted. So far, no scenario that is consistent with all observational constraints has been able to explain the drop in IR flux. Melis et al. (2012) discuss several possibilities that we summarize here (see

the supplementary information of their article for more details and references). A change of the disk structure at the inner edge would cast shadows on the outer disk and reduce the mid-IR luminosity, but the energy would still be reradiated, just at shorter wavelengths. No such excess is observed. Only a shadowing of the whole system by some intervening material could cause the whole disk to dim; however, the optical flux of the central star remains unchanged. In a second class of explanations, material is physically removed from the disk. Options are (i) a collisional avalanche that grinds the larger dust grains down until they are efficiently removed by stellar radiation; (ii) runaway accretion (a gas-driven instability that suddenly empties the disk onto the host star); and (iii) massive X-ray flares that evaporate the dust grains. The collisional avalanche and the runaway accretion models – while capable of producing comparable timescales to the limits for the disappearance event – are dynamically very challenging to realize; the X-ray evaporation would require a flare that outshines the bolometric luminosity of its host star by several orders of magnitude. No such flare has ever been observed for solar-like stars (see supplementary information of Melis et al. 2012, and references therein for details on these models). Osten et al. (2013) point out that large flares are associated with coronal mass ejections, which are more efficient in removing dust grains than the X-ray photons themselves and thus smaller, fortuitously aligned flares could be sufficient to reduce the dust mass in the disk.

Given the open questions about which scenario presented – if any – is appropriate for the TYC 8241 2652 1 system, we obtained new observations that aim to better characterize its circumstellar environment and further test models for its dramatic drop in mid-IR flux. Because TYC 8241 2652 1 is the most extreme case of IR variability known to date, it sets the strictest limits on the processes in the disk that are responsible for the removal of warm dust.

In Sect. 2 we present the new X-ray, optical, and IR observations and the associated data reduction. Section 3 shows the results we can extract from that data. We discuss implications in Sect. 4 and end with a short summary in Sect. 5.

2. Observations

In this section we describe observations analyzed in this article ordered by wavelength: X-ray (Sect. 2.1), optical spectroscopy (Sect. 2.2), near-IR interferometry (Sect. 2.3), and mid-IR imaging (Sect. 2.4). They are summarized in Table 1 with the wavelength or wavelength range of the most relevant features and the phenomenon we wanted to probe with these observations.

2.1. X-ray observations

We compare data on TYC 8241 2652 1 from three different X-ray missions; a source at a distance of 20'' from the optical position of TYC 8241 2652 1, roughly the positional uncertainty, is detected in the ROSAT All Sky Survey (RASS) bright source catalog (Voges et al. 1999). The source 1RXS J120900.4-512050 has a count rate of 0.09 ± 0.02 counts s^{-1} (0.1–2.4 keV).

Second, TYC 8241 2652 1 was in the field of view of a pointed ROSAT/SPC (Pfeffermann et al. 1987) observation in 1993 with a total exposure time of 2.5 ks (Observation ID rp201497). It is detected with an excess of about 300 photons over the background (0.1–2.4 keV). This observation of TYC 8241 2652 1 has not been analyzed before and we present a spectral analysis in Sect. 3.1.

Table 1. Observations.

Band	Wavelength	Instrument	Data analysis	Purpose
X-ray	0.1–2.4 keV	ROSAT	photometry	stellar activity
X-ray	0.2–3 keV	<i>XMM-Newton</i>	photometry	stellar activity
X-ray	0.3–3 keV	<i>Chandra</i>	spectroscopy	stellar activity
optical	656 nm	SSO/WiFeS	spectroscopy	stellar activity/accretion
optical	656 nm	SOAR/Goodman	spectroscopy	stellar activity/accretion
near-IR	2.2 μ m	VLT/AMBER	interferometry	search for companions
near-IR	3.8 μ m	VLT/NACO	interferometry	search for companions
mid-IR	10.7 μ m	VLT/VISIR	photometry	return of dust?
mid-IR	11.4 μ m	Subaru/COMICS	photometry	return of dust?

Table 2. *XMM-Newton* slew survey observations.

Slew obs. ID	Obs. date	Exp. time [s]	Source [counts]	Background [counts/region]	Rate [counts s ⁻¹]	Flux [10 ⁻¹³ erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹]
9057600002	2003-02-31	10	6	0.53	0.6 \pm 0.2	3 \pm 1
9111300003	2006-01-06	3	1	0.13	0.3 \pm 0.3	2 \pm 2
9193600005	2010-07-06	7	6	0.13	0.9 \pm 0.3	5 \pm 2

Third, TYC 8241 2652 1 was observed three times in the *XMM-Newton* slew survey (Saxton et al. 2008); see Table 2. *XMM-Newton* continues to observe while the telescope slews from one target to the next; the instrumental bandpass of *XMM-Newton* is 0.2–10 keV, but we restrict the analysis to 0.3 to 3 keV to reduce the background. Data obtained serendipitously during these slews covers a large percentage of the sky, but with observations that often only last for a few seconds. Two slew exposures were taken before the IR flux dropped, one was taken during the IR flux decrease. Saxton et al. (2008) report that 90% of all slew sources are found within 17'' of their optical position, but larger offsets are possible. To ensure that all source photons are counted, even if they are not detected at the nominal position we extract counts in a circle with 30'' radius centered on the optical position of TYC 8241 2652 1, but we find that the results do not depend sensitively on the choice of extraction region. Background counts are determined from a region that is 100 times larger than the source extraction region. The background region is positioned in the slew path to have a comparable effective exposure time. Table 2 shows the background counts normalized to the area of the source extraction region. Our target is seen with a total of 13 counts on a background of <1 count; this is a significant detection. Our target has a very soft spectrum in the slew data. Only 2 of 13 photons have energies >1 keV (1.1 and 2.2 keV).

Fourth, TYC 8241-2652-1 was observed with the *Chandra* X-ray observatory on 2014-02-16 for 10 ks (ObsID 15713) with ACIS-S (Garmire et al. 2003), positioning the source on the back-illuminated chip S3 because of the better sensitivity at low energies (0.3–10 keV). To avoid pileup from this relatively bright source, a subarray read-out mode was used. We processed all *Chandra* data with CIAO 4.8 (Fruscione et al. 2006) following the standard CIAO processing scripts for light curves and spectra. The background was determined from a large, source-free region on the same chip. Spectral fitting was carried out with the Sherpa fitting tool (Doe et al. 2007). The background in the observations is negligible for light curve and spectral extraction; pileup occurs in TYC 8241 2652 1, but it effects only 6% of all detected events in the brightest pixel.

2.2. Optical spectroscopy

We continued monitoring activity signatures for TYC 8241 2652 1 with measurements of H α in optical spectra.

Two out of three new epochs of observations were performed with the WiFeS integral field unit (Dopita et al. 2007, 2010) on the Siding Spring Observatory 2.3 m telescope. UT 03 April 2012 observations used the B3000 and R3000 low-resolution gratings (the number refers to the spectral resolving power for the given WiFeS grating) providing useable spectral data from 3400–8900 Å while UT 19 June 2013 observations used the B3000 low-resolution and R7000 high-resolution gratings providing complete coverage from 3400–7040 Å. WiFeS data were obtained in single-star mode with twice the spatial binning (1'' spatial pixels). Spectra are obtained by optimally extracting and combining five image slices (effectively a 5'' diameter aperture around the object) that contain the majority of the stellar flux. Spectra are then relative flux calibrated with observations of a flux standard star with known spectral response, except for the June 2013 red spectrum, which is only continuum normalized. Each spectrum has average signal-to-noise ratio per pixel >50.

The third epoch was obtained with the Goodman High Throughput Spectrograph on the 4.1 m Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) Telescope at Cerro Pachón. Observations were performed on UT 25 June 2014 and used the 0.46'' slit and the SYZY 400 lines mm⁻¹ grating resulting in spectral coverage from 4910–8960 Å and a resolving power of \approx 2000. The spectrum was continuum normalized and has an average signal-to-noise ratio per pixel of >100.

2.3. Near-infrared interferometry

Near-infrared interferometry provides an efficient way to reach the diffraction-limited resolution of single dish telescopes (with sparse aperture masking (SAM) interferometry) or to access even smaller spatial scales with long-baseline interferometry. We observed TYC 8241 2652 1 both with SAM and long-baseline

interferometry to search for close-in companions and to resolve any circumstellar material.

2.3.1. VLT/AMBER long-baseline interferometry

In order to search for structures on astronomical unit scale around TYC 8241 2652 1, we employed VLT/AMBER interferometry with the VLT 8.2 m unit telescope triplet UT1-UT2-UT4. This telescope configuration allowed us to probe projected baseline lengths between 54 and 130 m and position angles between 7 and 54°. The observations were conducted on 2012-12-24 as part of ESO observing programme 090.C-0697(A) (Kraus, Weigelt) and covered the near-infrared *K*-band ($2.20 \pm 0.17 \mu\text{m}$) with a spectral resolution of $\lambda/\Delta\lambda = 35$. We recorded a total of 5000 interferograms on the target. In order to calibrate the observables for atmospheric and instrumental effects, we bracketed the observations on TYC 8241 2652 1 with observations on the calibrator stars HD 42133 and HD 105316, for which we adopt uniform disk diameters of 0.156 ± 0.011 and 0.109 ± 0.008 mas, respectively (as computed with the JMMC SearchCal tool). To reduce the AMBER data, we employed the *amdlb* software (Release 3; Tatulli et al. 2007; Chelli et al. 2009) and extracted wavelength-dependent visibilities and phases as well as closure phases.

2.3.2. VLT/NACO sparse aperture masking interferometry

In order to reach the diffraction-limited resolution of the VLT 8.2 m unit telescope UT4, we employed VLT/NACO SAM interferometry. The NACO data were recorded on 2012-12-19 as part of program 090.C-0904(A) (Cure, Kraus, Kanaan, Sitko, Ireland, Harries). We used NACO's 7-Hole mask and a near-infrared *L'*-band ($3.80 \pm 0.31 \mu\text{m}$) filter. During our two pointings on TYC 8241 2652 1, we recorded a total of 1110 interferograms with a detector integration time of 1 s. The on-source observations were interlaid with observations on the calibrator star HD 105316 to calibrate instrumental closure phase effects. The NACO data were reduced using our data reduction pipeline that was already used in various earlier studies (e.g., Ireland & Kraus 2008; Kraus & Ireland 2012; Kraus et al. 2013), providing absolute calibrated visibilities and closure phases.

2.4. Mid-infrared imaging

Ground-based mid-infrared imaging of TYC 8241 2652 1 was pursued to continue monitoring the system and to see if the disk material is beginning to return. Two epochs were obtained and are described below.

2.4.1. Subaru/COMICS

For a period between 2013–2015 inclusive, no mid-infrared imaging camera was reliably available in the southern hemisphere and an attempt to detect TYC 8241 2652 1 was made from Mauna Kea on UT June 19, 2013. Observations were performed with COMICS (Kataza et al. 2000; Okamoto et al. 2003) on the Subaru 8.2 m telescope in imaging mode with the N11.7 filter ($11.7 \mu\text{m}$ central wavelength and a width of $1.0 \mu\text{m}$). In imaging mode COMICS feeds a 320×240 pixel Si:As array whose $0.13'' \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ plate scale affords a field of view of $41.6'' \times 31.2''$. Observations were chopped only (keeping all beams on chip and lowering overhead) to maximize efficiency while obtaining sufficient sensitivity to detect faint sources. The chopping throw used was $10''$ along a position angle of 0° E of N. Data reduction

follows standard high thermal background techniques. Chop pairs are differenced to remove the rapidly fluctuating background signal, then combined to yield the final reduced image. We noted during the night that some sources displayed a slow vertical shift in one direction on the chip while being observed over long periods of time; a variety of shift corrections were applied to the TYC 8241 2652 1 data during the reduction process with no impact on the final result. The flux calibration standard HD 110458 (Cohen et al. 1999) was observed immediately prior to and immediately after observations of TYC 8241 2652 1.

In practice, one cannot easily achieve the nominal instrumental sensitivity for COMICS when observing at an airmass of ≈ 3 . As such, it should not be surprising that TYC 8241 2652 1 was not detected in the 800 s spent on source before it set below the 15° elevation limit for Subaru. From the bracketed observations of HD 110458 we estimate that the TYC 8241 2652 1 imaging sequence was capable of detecting sources as faint as 50 mJy to $\approx 95\%$ confidence.

2.4.2. VLT/VISIR

As the Subaru observations were unable to provide the desired sensitivity to detect TYC 8241 2652 1 at its low dust emission level, we waited for the VISIR instrument (Lagage et al. 2004; Käufel et al. 2015) at VLT-Melipal to become operational again before pursuing additional mid-infrared observations. Observations were performed in service mode on two consecutive nights in Feb. 2016 in AutoChopNod imaging mode with default parameters, the chop/nod direction set to perpendicular, and blind positioning of the source in the upper left quadrant of the chip. The 1024×1024 pixel detector was configured for $0.045'' \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ yielding a field of view of roughly $46'' \times 46''$. Observations were performed with the B10.7 filter ($10.65 \mu\text{m}$ central wavelength and a half-band width of $1.37 \mu\text{m}$) and exposed for a total of 1525 s on source. The flux standard HD 99167 (Cohen et al. 1999) was observed each photometric night immediately before observations of TYC 8241 2652 1. Observations on UT February 16, 2016 provided a weak detection of TYC 8241 2652 1, but the seeing degraded after the start of the observation and did not meet the service mode specifications. As a result, observations were repeated on UT February 17, 2016 under better conditions.

Data reduction was performed within *gasgano* using the standard *VISIR_img_reduce* script. For each separate night, images were chop- and nod-differenced and combined for both the standard star and TYC 8241 2652 1. Flux was extracted for each positive and negative beam for both stars with an aperture that yielded approximately 85% encircled energy. Each of the four measurements were averaged and the uncertainty set to the spread of these four measurements divided by 2 (the square root of the number of measurements). When calculating the measured flux density of TYC 8241 2652 1, an additional 10% uncertainty is added to the measurement of the flux calibration star's flux density to account for absolute calibration error and variable atmospheric conditions between observations of the two sources. In practice this error is overshadowed by the relatively low signal-to-noise-ratio detections of 5–6 for TYC 8241 2652 1, which resulted in its individual detections having an uncertainty of about 20%. We average the flux densities measured for each night as they agree to within their 1σ errors, yielding a final $10.7 \mu\text{m}$ flux density of 11 ± 2 mJy.

Table 3. Best-fit model for the X-ray spectrum (1σ confidence intervals).

Parameter	Soft comp.	Hard comp.
kT [keV]	0.6 ± 0.1	$1.7^{+0.7}_{-0.3}$
EM [10^{52} cm^{-3}]	6^{+5}_{-1}	4^{+1}_{-3}
Ne		$1.2^{+0.4}_{-0.6}$
Mg, Si, Fe		0.2 ± 0.1
n_H [10^{20} cm^{-2}]		0^{+3}
L_X [erg s^{-1}]	$(1.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{30}$ (unabsorbed, 0.3–5.0 keV)	

3. Results



3.1. X-ray

In the *Chandra* observation we detect two X-ray sources within $10'$ of aimpoint; at larger distances the point spread function becomes very large and the source identification becomes ambiguous. We identify the strongest source with TYC 8241 2652 1. It is located $<0.5''$ from the optical position, which is well within the 90% error circle of the absolute pointing accuracy¹ of *Chandra*; there are too few sources to improve the astrometry by comparing with other catalogs.

The light curve of TYC 8241 2652 1 is flat during the observation, indicating that our analysis represents the quiescent state and not a stellar flare. Stellar X-ray emission originates in collisionally ionized, optically thin coronal plasmas, thus we fit a model of two thermal components (APEC; Foster et al. 2012) and one absorption component for the interstellar column density n_H . A stellar accretion shock and coronal plasma have very similar features in the spectrum and without grating data we cannot measure the density predicted in shock models (Günther et al. 2007); however the weak H α emission, which just fills the photospheric line (Sect. 3.2), makes the presence of an X-ray accretion shock very unlikely anyway. Table 3 shows the best-fit parameters. X-ray data and the fit are presented in Fig. 1. We use Grevesse & Sauval (1998) as a baseline for our abundances. The fit determines the ratio of observed to base abundance, for example, $\frac{N_{\text{obs}}}{N_{\text{base}}}$. However, the absolute abundances cannot be determined without grating spectroscopy; if we, for example, multiplied all abundances in the model by three, the model would predict an almost identical spectrum. Thus, we normalize the abundances by fixing the abundance of elements with medium first ionization potential (FIP) values (S, O, N, and C all have FIP between 10 and 15 eV) to 1. In other words, Table 3 gives, for example, $\frac{N_{\text{obs}}}{N_{\text{base}}} \frac{O_{\text{base}}}{O_{\text{obs}}}$.

We combine Mg, Si, and Fe into one group, because they all have very similar FIP values (7.6–8.1 eV). The FIP of Ne is 21.6 eV. The calculation of the emission measure (EM) and L_X uses $d = 120.9 \pm 5.4$ pc from *Gaia* data release 1 (Lindgren et al. 2016); plasma temperatures are given as kT , where k is the Boltzman constant; and finally L_X is the intrinsic X-ray luminosity of the source in the 0.3–5.0 keV range.

The ROSAT pointed observation from 1993 presents a similar spectral result. We find that TYC 8241 2652 1 is detected with an excess of ca. 300 counts over the background, corresponding to a count rate of $0.12 \text{ counts s}^{-1}$. As the ROSAT PSPC detector has a much lower spectral resolution than *Chandra*, we fitted the ROSAT spectrum with a coronal plasma model with a single temperature component and solar elemental abundances

**Fig. 1.** *Chandra* spectrum binned to 20 counts per bin. The best-fit model is shown as red line.**Fig. 2.** Observed X-ray luminosity of TYC 8241 2652 1 is constant since 1991 with measurements before 1995 performed by ROSAT and the new measurement we report on here performed by *Chandra* in 2014. The low signal-to-noise detections achieved by the *XMM-Newton* slew survey from 2003 to 2010, indicated with dashed lines, are roughly consistent in luminosity with previous and later X-ray observations.

(Grevesse & Sauval 1998). We find a mean coronal temperature of $kT = 0.51$ keV, i.e., somewhat lower than the result from the *Chandra* observations. However this is not surprising because the ROSAT PSPC detector is only sensitive to photon energies from 0.1 to 2.4 keV, meaning that ROSAT generally sees the lower temperature part of a stellar corona. The X-ray luminosity found from the ROSAT spectral fit is similar to the *Chandra* observation: $L_X = 1.4^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{30} \text{ erg/s}$ extrapolated to an energy range of 0.3–5.0 keV to match the spectral band of the *Chandra* observation.

We also calculate the X-ray luminosity for the ROSAT All-Sky Survey detection, using WebPIMMS to transform the detected count rate into an X-ray flux. We find an X-ray luminosity of $1.0^{+0.3}_{-0.3} \times 10^{30} \text{ erg/s}$ over an energy range of 0.3–5.0 keV.

For the *XMM-Newton* slew survey data, the low count rate and systematic errors from combining data from a moving source on three detectors cause large uncertainties on the measured count rate. Nevertheless, we attempt to estimate an energy flux from the count rate using WebPIMMS and the model fitted to ROSAT data in Table 2.

In summary, we find that the *Chandra* spectrum and the total flux are fully compatible with the fluxes measured by ROSAT and *XMM-Newton*. There are no indications that the X-ray properties of TYC 8241 2652 1 changed before and after it lost its disk; its X-ray luminosity is approximately constant over a time span of ~ 14 yr; see Fig. 2.

Inactive stars with a weak corona commonly show an IFIP (inverse FIP) effect where elements with a low FIP such as

¹ <http://cxc.harvard.edu/proposer/POG/html/chap5.html>

Fe, Si, and Mg have depleted abundances and elements with a high FIP (especially Ne) are enhanced (see review by Güdel 2004, and references therein). The abundances measured in TYC 8241 2652 1 in the *Chandra* data follow exactly this pattern. Furthermore, active stars saturate around $\log L_X/L_{\text{bol}} = -3$ (L_{bol} is the bolometric luminosity); again, TYC 8241 2652 1 matches this with $\log L_X/L_{\text{bol}} = -2.8$.

Compared to the X-ray temperatures of young stars, on the one hand, for example, T Tauri stars observed in the Orion Ultra-deep project (COUP; Preibisch et al. 2005), TYC 8241 2652 1 is at the lower end. The COUP sample contains actively accreting stars with circumstellar disks and stars that have already lost their disks. Disk bearing stars often, but not always, have a stronger hot component (e.g., Günther et al. 2010) compared with TYC 8241 2652 1, where a similar amount of emission is seen in both components.

On the other hand, most debris disk systems are much older than T Tauri stars and so the typical range in $\log L_X/L_{\text{bol}}$ is -4.5 to -7 (Eiroa et al. 2013).

3.2. Optical spectroscopy

H α equivalent widths are measured for the two WiFeS spectra reported herein. We find that the H α line remains roughly critically filled in with an equivalent width of 0 ± 0.1 Å in April 2012, which is consistent with the optical activity signature measurements reported by Melis et al. (2012). The June 2013 and June 2014 spectra show slightly enhanced optical activity in the H α line with equivalent widths of -0.8 ± 0.1 Å and -0.4 ± 0.1 Å, respectively (negative equivalent widths indicate emission above the continuum level).

With these optical spectra, and previous data and results reported by Melis et al. (2012), we estimate the visual extinction A_V toward TYC 8241 2652 1 to aid in interpreting X-ray modeling results (see Sect. 4).

From the 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006) K_s -band measurement of 8.598 ± 0.019 mag, we get an absolute K -band magnitude of 3.185 ± 0.098 mag. This essentially confirms the 10 Myr old age of the source when compared against models from Baraffe et al. (2002).

The $V - K_s$ color of a star with spectral type K3V is 2.45 mags, thus we expect $M_V = 5.635 \pm 0.098$ mag and an expected apparent V -band magnitude $m_V = 11.048 \pm 0.138$ mag. This, when compared against the APASS (Henden et al. 2012) V -band magnitude of $m_V = 11.118 \pm 0.035$, which was taken when the dust was gone and hence appropriate for comparison to the *Chandra* measurement, suggests $A_V = 0.07 \pm 0.14$ mag.

3.3. Near-infrared interferometry

Our VLT/NACO SAM and VLTI/AMBER interferometric observations allow us to search for close-in companions in the separation range between ~ 1 and 250 milliarcseconds (mas), corresponding to physical scales of 0.12 ... 30 AU at the distance of TYC 8241 2652 1.

The AMBER observations probe the range from ~ 1 to 30 mas in the K band, while NACO covers the range from 20 to 250 mas in the L' band. Neither of the two data sets indicate any resolved emission. The measured visibilities are consistent with unity, indicating that there is no resolved K -band emission from circumstellar material on levels above $\sim 4\%$ of the total flux (Fig. 3).




Fig. 3. Visibilities (top) and closure phases (bottom) extracted from our VLTI/AMBER observations.




Fig. 4. Significance map derived by fitting a companion model to our NACO L' -band SAM data. All structures in the map have a significance $\leq 4\sigma$ in the 40–250 mas range, which is consistent with a nondetection. The white inner circle represents our effective inner working angle with observations inside this annulus strongly adversely affected by S/N effects represented by limited contrast sensitivity.

In order to search for asymmetries in the brightness distribution that might indicate the presence of a close-in companion, we modeled the measured closure phases with a companion model, where we fit the separation, position angle, and flux ratio between two point sources as free parameters. From the model fit we produced the significance map shown in Fig. 4. The map reveals some potential companion candidates in south-western direction at a separation of about 100 mas; however the significance is relatively low at $\leq 4\sigma$. This significance is below our carefully established detection thresholds and we interpret the observation as a nondetection. We estimate that we would have been able to obtain clear detections for companions with contrasts lower than $\Delta L' = 1.9$ between 20–40 mas, $\Delta L' = 3.6$ between 40–80 mas, and $\Delta L' = 4.4$ in the 80–240 mas separation range. The measured AMBER closure phases are also consistent with zero and we estimate that we can rule out companions $\Delta K = 3$ in the 1–30 mas range.

3.4. Mid-infrared imaging

Melis et al. (2012) report depleted dust disk emission levels at $\sim 10\mu\text{m}$ for TYC 8241 2652 1 of 12.6 ± 0.3 mJy in 2010 (from *WISE*) and 18 ± 6 mJy in 2012 (from Gemini/T-ReCS).

These emission levels are slightly elevated above the expected photospheric emission level of ≈ 11 mJy (see their Fig. 1). The COMICS nondetection and VISIR detection of TYC 8241 2652 1 reveal that its circumstellar disk appears to have maintained its depleted dust level since the 2010 *WISE* measurements and has not yet returned to its pre-2009 exceptionally dusty state. Continued monitoring of the source is prudent as [Melis et al. \(2012\)](#) suggest that the dust disk might start to return within ten years of its disappearance under some of their proposed models, and that anniversary is rapidly approaching (sometime around 2019).

4. Discussion

4.1. Stellar activity and disk evaporation

Cool stars generate magnetic fields with a dynamo analogous to the Sun. Our observations probe two manifestations of this stellar activity. The hot coronal gas is observed in the X-ray band and the optical spectra probe the $H\alpha$ emission, which originates in active regions in the stellar chromosphere. Looking at the current X-ray spectrum as observed with *Chandra* there are no indications that TYC 8241 2652 1 differs in its X-ray properties from other young stars in any way. The L_X/L_{bol} ratio and the $H\alpha$ equivalent width in TYC 8241 2652 1 are very similar to what is seen on other young active stars such as T Tauri stars. For stars of this spectral type, an unperturbed photosphere shows $H\alpha$ in absorption, but in our observations, the photospheric $H\alpha$ line is filled, and shows at most weak emission above the continuum, indicating additional emission features from chromospheric activity. A $H\alpha$ equivalent width close to 0 is typical for active cool stars ([Robinson et al. 1990](#)) but much weaker than seen in accreting stars ([Jayawardhana et al. 2003](#)). On the other hand, TYC 8241 2652 1 is definitely more active than the average debris disk host star, which is consistent with the age of only 10 Myr ([Melis et al. 2012](#)).

The X-ray properties do not significantly differ before and after it lost its disk and the optical spectra before the mid-IR dimming ([Melis et al. 2012](#)) and after (this work) show consistent activity indicators. Therefore, whatever happened to the disk apparently did not influence the stellar activity. However, stars as young as TYC 8241 2652 1 typically spin so fast that they are in the saturated regime of stellar dynamo-powered magnetic activity. Therefore, even the accretion of large amounts of angular momentum and the accompanying spin-up of the star would not increase coronal and chromospheric activity and we cannot use activity to check whether the stellar angular momentum increased through the quick accretion of the disk onto the star.

In six X-ray observations of TYC 8241 2652 1 not a single flare is seen, but the summed observing time is still short. Thus, we look for a sample of comparable stars with a long enough observing time to estimate flare frequencies. By age and L_X/L_{bol} value, TYC 8241 2652 1 is comparable to T Tauri stars. The best sample, although still only about half as old as TYC 8241 2652 1, is from the *XMM-Newton* extended survey of the Taurus molecular cloud (XEST; [Güdel et al. 2007](#)). Flares in this sample are analyzed by [Stelzer et al. \(2007\)](#). [Osten et al. \(2013\)](#) estimate that a flare with a total energy of 10^{35} erg would be sufficient to explain the drop in IR luminosity in TYC 8241 2652 1. While the flare itself would not do much to the dust, the coronal mass ejections that typically accompanies a flare should be powerful enough to remove a larger amount of dust from the system. In the XEST project, a flare of this magnitude was observed roughly every 800 ks (9 days) per star.

Individual coronal mass ejections on the Sun are confined to a small solid angle, so that not every large flare necessarily hits the disk; on the other hand, the coronal mass ejection in question would have to be big enough to influence a large percentage of the disk if invoked to explain the drop in IR luminosity between 2009 and 2010. If this mechanism worked even for a small percentage of the flares, we should have never observed an IR excess in TYC 8241 2652 1, since its disk would be removed every few days. Obviously, there are large uncertainties in this argument. For example, not all stars show the same level of activity; the XEST sample is younger than TYC 8241 2652 1, and coronal mass ejections have not been directly detected for stars other than the sun ([Leitzinger et al. 2011](#)).

[Melis et al. \(2012\)](#) also discuss a scenario in which an X-ray flare is responsible for the evaporation of dust in the disk. Their calculation is based only on the X-ray flux and they estimate a required flare energy about 10^{39} erg, which is more than any stellar flare ever observed. The number N of flares with energy larger than E follows a power law of the form $\frac{dN}{dE} = kE^{-\alpha}$ (review by [Güdel 2004](#)). Taking the numbers reported in [Stelzer et al. \(2007\)](#) for XEST, we would expect one flare with $E > 10^{39}$ erg per 100 Myr per star; obviously, we do not know if the extrapolation this far beyond any observed flare is valid, but it is certainly consistent with the fact that no other star has been observed to drop in the mid-IR luminosity like TYC 8241 2652 1². *Kepler* data from main-sequence stars indicate that the flare energy saturates around 2×10^{37} erg ([Wu et al. 2015](#)). If such an upper limit exists for young stars, then no X-ray flare will ever be able to evaporate a disk such as that of TYC 8241 2652 1 before 2009.

4.2. Accretion and the dust/gas reservoir

In principle, the X-ray n_H , which probes the gas column density, can be compared with the optical reddening A_V , which probes the dust column density, to constrain the gas-to-dust ratio in the line of sight. However, the best fit is 0 for both values in TYC 8241 2652 1.

4.3. Companions and planets

Our interferometry only found upper limits. Using the model tracks from [Baraffe et al. \(2002, 2003\)](#), the flux limits can be converted to mass limits for any potential companion. The limit on ΔK in the 1–30 mas range (0.1–4 AU) implies $M < 0.2 M_\odot$; the upper limits on the mass of any companion derived from ΔL are 0.35, 0.1, and $0.05 M_\odot$ in the region 20–40 mas (2–5 AU), 40–80 mas (5–10 AU), and 80–240 mas (10–30 AU), respectively. The collisional avalanche model and the runaway accretion model discussed in the supplementary material of [Melis et al. \(2012\)](#) both require a sudden release of gas or dust. A collision of objects just 100–1000 km in size would be sufficient to start a collisional avalanche ([Artymowicz 1997](#); [Grigorieva et al. 2007](#)) and our observations do not pose limits for objects on this size scale. For completeness, we point out that the observed IR excess before 2009 might not have been a long-term stable disk, but could have been a remnant of the same collision that also provided the gas to start a runaway accretion event (see the supplementary information of [Melis et al. 2012](#), for details and references).

² At face value, the probability to observe one such event when comparing the *Spitzer* and *WISE* catalogs, i.e., observing one decade in disk evolution for a few thousand young stars, is 10^{-5} .

As an alternative, we want to describe ideas that would require a companion in an eccentric orbit. This companion could have a cold disk itself; this disk would be so cold that would only visible be in the mid-IR when it passes TYC 8241 2652 1 at a distance d . At maximum luminosity the IR flux is 11% of the flux of TYC 8241 2652 1 itself, so the disk of the companion needs to intercept at least 11% of the light from TYC 8241 2652 1 and to reradiate the light in the IR. This translates to a circum-companion disk with radius $r_c > \frac{d}{4.5}$. The temperature of the dust excess is not well determined because it has not been detected above $30\ \mu\text{m}$. Melis et al. (2012) use 450 K as fiducial value, but temperatures up to 800 K might be possible. Given the flux from TYC 8241 2652 1, dust passing at 0.6 AU would be heated to 450 K.

Melis et al. (2012) show $10\ \mu\text{m}$ fluxes from 2006, May 2008, and Jan. 2009, peaking in 2008. The IR excess around TYC 8241 2652 1 was also detected in 1983, suggesting an orbital period for the companion of 25 yr, which implies a semimajor axis of $a = 7.6\ \text{AU}$. In any case, the orbital motion is fastest at periastron, where the disk is heated most. Thus, orbital motion alone cannot explain why we see high emission for three years, but then a drop on a much faster timescale instead of a slow rise and fall. The faster timescale could be intrinsic to the companion system. If the disk precesses on a period of a few years, we can draw the following scenario: the disk was seen almost face-on around periastron in 2008. In 2010, the companion was still close enough to have a hot disk, but the change in viewing angle caused the drop in observable flux. In our new observations, the disk might be face-on again, but is now, eight years after periastron, sufficiently far from the star that it is too cool to see. For example, an object with an orbit with eccentricity $e = 0.7$ spends about four years within 4 AU, but is beyond 11 AU eight years after periastron.

An alternative to precessing the disk of the companion is to propose that TYC 8241 2652 1 itself has a cold, outer dust disk with an inner radius of a few AU. This disk must be geometrically thin, such that it is not significantly heated by the stellar radiation, but at the same time is dense enough to be optically thick. Such a disk would be compatible with the excess emission around $20\ \mu\text{m}$ detected by *WISE* after the main disk disappeared (Melis et al. 2012). However, such a disk would likely be dense enough to accrete onto the star, in contrast with our observations. The companion disk is heated near periastron and then vanishes from view as it is obscured by the larger disk of TYC 8241 2652 1.

We can now calculate the minimum mass m of the companion that is required to keep its disk gravitationally bound when passing TYC 8241 2652 1, using the formula for the Hill radius from Hamilton & Burns (1992) and the distance of periastron passage $d = \frac{a(1-e^2)}{1+e}$ as follows:

$$\frac{m}{M_*} = 3 \left(\frac{r_c}{a(1-e)} \right)^3 = 3 \left(\frac{\frac{d}{4.5}}{a(1-e)} \right)^3 = \frac{3}{4.5^3} = 0.03. \quad (1)$$

This result is independent of the orbital period and a . The minimum companion mass is just below our detection limit in the interferometry. Given that r_c is a lower limit for a perfectly absorbing, face-on disk, this scenario where TYC 8241 2652 1 heats the disk of a passing secondary object seems unlikely, even if it is not formally ruled out.

A more complex variant of the binary scenario requires only a cold, as yet unseen outer disk around TYC 8241 2652 1, but no material around the companion. When the companion passes the disk, it may induce turbulence in the disk and thus contribute to

heating the disk; our interferometry limits are too strict to allow a companion with enough emission to heat the disk radiatively. Again, timescales are a problem. It seems unlikely that the turbulent heating can be sustained at an almost constant level for several years and then suddenly drop off.

5. Summary

In this article we present new X-ray observations, optical spectroscopy, near-IR interferometry, and mid-IR imaging of TYC 8241 2652 1; all the data were taken to further explore physical scenarios that can explain how TYC 8241 2652 1 reduced the dust mass in its disk by an order of magnitude or more between 2009 and 2010. The source is detected in new and archival X-ray observations. Light curves and spectra are fully consistent with typical values for young, active stars and there is no detectable change in X-ray activity before or after the dust mass loss in the disk. No absorbing column is detected toward the target. The interferometric data do not resolve any companion. In the inner region, the data place an upper limit of $0.2\ M_\odot$ on the mass of any potential binary component. Last, the mid-IR imaging detects a small excess above photospheric levels, which is consistent with the values observed just after TYC 8241 2652 1 lost most of its dust in the disk.

These new data place limits on several potential scenarios, but do not conclusively point to a single scenario for the dust loss.

Acknowledgements. We thank Michael Bessell from Mt. Stromlo/ANU and B. Bowler from Caltech for their help in obtaining and reducing optical spectra for this work. This research has made use of data obtained from the *Chandra* Data Archive, and software provided by the *Chandra* X-ray Center (CXC) in the application packages CIAO and Sherpa as well as data obtained with *XMM-Newton*, an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA Member States and NASA. This research also used the AAVSO Photometric All-Sky Survey (APASS), funded by the Robert Martin Ayers Sciences Fund. Support for H. M. G. was provided by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through *Chandra* Award Number GO4-15009X issued by the *Chandra* X-ray Observatory Center, which is operated by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory for and on behalf of the National Aeronautics Space Administration under contract NAS8-03060. S.K. acknowledges support from an STFC Rutherford Fellowship (ST/J004030/1) and Marie Skłodowska-Curie CIG grant (Ref. 618910). C.M. was supported by NASA grant 13-ADAP13-0178. M. Curé and S. Kanaan acknowledges financial support from Centro de Astrofísica de Valparaíso. S. Kanaan thank the support of Fondecyt iniciación grant No. 11130702. S.J.W. was supported by NASA contract NAS8-03060 (*Chandra*). We want to thank the referee for help specifically with the discussion section of the paper.

References

- Artymowicz, P. 1997, *Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, **25**, 175
- Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. H. 2002, *A&A*, **382**, 563
- Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Barman, T. S., Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. H. 2003, *A&A*, **402**, 701
- Carpenter, J. M., Bouwman, J., Mamajek, E. E., et al. 2009, *ApJS*, **181**, 197
- Chelli, A., Utrera, O. H., & Duvert, G. 2009, *A&A*, **502**, 705
- Cody, A. M., Stauffer, J., Baglin, A., et al. 2014, *AJ*, **147**, 82
- Cohen, M., Walker, R. G., Carter, B., et al. 1999, *AJ*, **117**, 1864
- Doe, S., Nguyen, D., Stawarz, C., et al. 2007, in *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVI*, eds. R. A. Shaw, F. Hill, & D. J. Bell, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, **376**, 543
- Dopita, M., Hart, J., McGregor, P., et al. 2007, *Ap&SS*, **310**, 255
- Dopita, M., Rhee, J., Farage, C., et al. 2010, *Ap&SS*, **327**, 245
- Eiroa, C., Marshall, J. P., Mora, A., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **555**, A11
- Foster, A. R., Ji, L., Smith, R. K., & Brickhouse, N. S. 2012, *ApJ*, **756**, 128
- France, K., Roberge, A., Lupu, R. E., Redfield, S., & Feldman, P. D. 2007, *ApJ*, **668**, 1174
- Fruscione, A., McDowell, J. C., Allen, G. E., et al. 2006, in *Observatory Operations: Strategies Processes, and Systems*, eds. D. R. Silva, & R. E. Doxsey (SPIE-Intl Soc Optical Eng)

- Garmire, G. P., Bautz, M. W., Ford, P. G., Nousek, J. A., & Ricker, Jr., G. R. 2003, in *X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Telescopes and Instruments for Astronomy*, eds. J. E. Truemper, & H. D. Tananbaum, *Proc. SPIE*, **4851**, 28
- Gáspár, A., Rieke, G. H., Su, K. Y. L., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **697**, 1578
- Gáspár, A., Rieke, G. H., & Balog, Z. 2013, *ApJ*, **768**, 25
- Grevesse, N., & Sauval, A. J. 1998, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **85**, 161
- Grigorieva, A., Artymowicz, P., & Thébault, P. 2007, *A&A*, **461**, 537
- Güdel, M. 2004, *A&ARv*, **12**, 71
- Güdel, M., Briggs, K. R., Arzner, K., et al. 2007, *A&A*, **468**, 353
- Günther, H. M. 2011, *Astron. Nachr.*, **332**, 448
- Günther, H. M., Schmitt, J. H. M. M., Robrade, J., & Liefke, C. 2007, *A&A*, **466**, 1111
- Günther, H. M., Matt, S. P., Schmitt, J. H. M. M., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **519**, A97
- Haisch, Jr., K. E., Lada, E. A., & Lada, C. J. 2001, *ApJ*, **553**, L153
- Hamilton, D. P., & Burns, J. A. 1992, *Icarus*, **96**, 43
- Henden, A. A., Levine, S. E., Terrell, D., Smith, T. C., & Welch, D. 2012, *J. American Association of Variable Star Observers (JAAVSO)*, **40**, 430
- Hernández, J., Calvet, N., Briceño, C., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **671**, 1784
- Ireland, M. J., & Kraus, A. L. 2008, *ApJ*, **678**, L59
- Jayawardhana, R., Mohanty, S., & Basri, G. 2003, *ApJ*, **592**, 282
- Kataza, H., Okamoto, Y., Takubo, S., et al. 2000, *Optical and IR Telescope Instrumentation and Detectors*, eds. M. Iye, & A. F. Moorwood, *Proc. SPIE*, **4008**, 1144
- Käufl, H. U., Kerber, F., Asmus, D., et al. 2015, *The Messenger*, **159**, 15
- Kraus, A. L., & Ireland, M. J. 2012, *ApJ*, **745**, 5
- Kraus, S., Ireland, M. J., Sitko, M. L., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **768**, 80
- Lagage, P. O., Pel, J. W., Authier, M., et al. 2004, *The Messenger*, **117**, 12
- Leitzinger, M., Odert, P., Ribas, I., et al. 2011, *A&A*, **536**, A62
- Lindgren, L., Lammers, U., Bastian, U., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **595**, A4
- Melis, C., Zuckerman, B., Rhee, J. H., et al. 2012, *Nature*, **487**, 74
- Meng, H. Y. A., Rieke, G. H., Su, K. Y. L., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **751**, L17
- Okamoto, Y. K., Kataza, H., Yamashita, T., et al. 2003, *Instrument Design and Performance for Optical/Infrared Ground-based Telescopes*, eds. M. Iye, & A. F. M. Moorwood, *Proc. SPIE*, **4841**, 169
- Osten, R., Livio, M., Lubow, S., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **765**, L44
- Pascucci, I., Gorti, U., Hollenbach, D., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, **651**, 1177
- Pfeffermann, E., Briel, U. G., Hippmann, H., et al. 1987, in *Soft X-ray optics and technology*, eds. E.-E. Koch, & G. Schmahl, *Proc. SPIE*, **733**, 519
- Preibisch, T., Kim, Y.-C., Favata, F., et al. 2005, *ApJS*, **160**, 401
- Rebull, L. M., Cody, A. M., Covey, K. R., et al. 2014, *AJ*, **148**, 92
- Rice, T. S., Wolk, S. J., & Aspin, C. 2012, *ApJ*, **755**, 65
- Robinson, R. D., Cram, L. E., & Giampapa, M. S. 1990, *ApJS*, **74**, 891
- Saxton, R. D., Read, A. M., Esquej, P., et al. 2008, *A&A*, **480**, 611
- Schneider, P. C., & Schmitt, J. H. M. M. 2010, *A&A*, **516**, A8
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, *AJ*, **131**, 1163
- Stelzer, B., Flaccomio, E., Briggs, K., et al. 2007, *A&A*, **468**, 463
- Tatulli, E., Millour, F., Chelli, A., et al. 2007, *A&A*, **464**, 29
- Voges, W., Aschenbach, B., Boller, T., et al. 1999, *A&A*, **349**, 389
- Wu, C.-J., Ip, W.-H., & Huang, L.-C. 2015, *ApJ*, **798**, 92
- Wyatt, M. C. 2008, *ARA&A*, **46**, 339